

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,401 01/09/2002		R. William Mengel	CO4/02	2065	
. 7	590 05/07/2003				
Roland H. Shubert			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Post Office Box 2339 Reston, VA 20195			LISH, PI	LISH, PETER J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Λ	- 4	_
7	•	`
-	1	_

<u> </u>						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/040,401	MENGEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter J Lish	1754			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/0	<u> </u>				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· _	on of Claims					
·	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
	on Papers  The experimentary is abjected to by the Evernines					
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		; minor			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept					
11) 🗆 -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on					
11/1	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		vod by the Examinor.			
12)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
· —	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
,—	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

15

Art Unit: 1754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a process for the production of a carbon powder from rubber, classified in class 585, subclass 241.
- II. Claims 18-20, drawn to a carbon powder, classified in class 423, subclass 445R.
- III. Claims 21-27, drawn to process for the surface treatment of carbon material, classified in class 423, subclass 460.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process, such as the production of carbon black powder using a hydrocarbon feed.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as for the surface treatment of carbon black. The treatment may be done regardless of how the carbon was formed, so it is not dependent upon or related thereto. See MPEP § 806.05(d).



Application/Control Number: 10/040,401

Art Unit: 1754

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated for a combination of the reasons above. Invention II is drawn toward a carbon powder, produced from rubber, while Invention III is drawn toward a method of treating carbonaceous char or powder, which may be different, to yield surface modification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Roland Shubert on 22 April 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 703-308-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-305-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,401

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Page 4

PL April 29, 2003

> STUART L HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER